UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/589,385	08/22/2007	Christer Sinderby	BRKP:028US	7142
	7590 12/09/201 & JAWORSKI L.L.P.		EXAMINER	
	TO BOULEVARD		DOUGLAS, STEVEN O	
AUSTIN, TX 7	8701-4255		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3771	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/09/2011	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

aopatent@fulbright.com

Office Action Company		Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
		10/589,385	SINDERBY ET AL	SINDERBY ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		STEVEN DOUGLAS	3771				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence ac	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) 🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10	October 2011.					
· <u> </u>		nis action is non-final.					
3)	An election was made by the applicant in re	sponse to a restriction requirer	ment set forth during th	e interview on			
	; the restriction requirement and elect	on have been incorporated int	o this action.				
4)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. ⁻	11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)🛛	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application	on.					
,	5a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
6)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
7) 🛛	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.						
8)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
9)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Exam	ner.					
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		Mail Date ormal Patent Application				
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>10102011</u> . 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over SINDERBY (WO 02/056818) in view of EULIANO et al. (US 7,425,201).

The Sinderby reference discloses a respiratory system (see Fig. 1) comprising a CPU 20 that acts as both a calculator and a controller for controlling a level of ventilation assist via ventilation tube 34 based on electrical signals from measurement probe 12 which measures or indicates a patients inspiratory effort based on the comparison of the electrical signal to a desired threshold level. However, Sinderby fails to explicitly relate the measured signal to the fatigue of a respiratory muscle. Attention is further directed to EULIANO et al. which discloses another respiratory system which relates a patient's inspiratory effort, as derived from a measured signal, to the fatigue of a respiratory muscle for the reason of the avoidance of respiratory muscle fatigue and to stimulate a more natural breathing process (see col. 4, lines 13-28). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the SINDERBY system to incorporate the relation of the measured inspiratory effort to the fatigue of a respiratory muscle (if not already) in view of the teachings of the EULIANO et al. to stimulate a more natural breathing process (see col. 4, lines 13-28).

Application/Control Number: 10/589,385 Page 3

Art Unit: 3771

In regard to claims 1-9, the method as claimed would be inherent during normal use and operation of the device or system derived by the combined teachings discussed above.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-18 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to STEVEN DOUGLAS whose telephone number is (571)272-4885. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 6:30-5:00.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/589,385 Page 4

Art Unit: 3771

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Steven O. Douglas/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 3771

SD 12/5/11